UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,716	02/03/2004	Kwong Heng Kwok	PA030006	2288
JOSEPH S. TR	7590 01/02/2008 IPOLI		EXAM	INER .
THOMSON LI	CENSING INC.	JONES, HEATHER RAE		
2 INDEPENDI P.O. BOX 5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PRINCETON,	NJ 08543-5312		2621	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		01/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

* -		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/770,716	KWOK ET AL.		
Office Action Summa	ry	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Heather R. Jones	2621		
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of th - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	THE MAILING DA' ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136 his communication. imum statutory period will for reply will, by statute, of months after the mailing of	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may I apply and will expire SIX (6) Micause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the 	2b)∏ This a dition for allowand	action is non-final. ce except for formal ma		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 6</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to	_ is/are withdraw ected. I to.	n from consideration.			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 04 Feb Applicant may not request that an Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is object.	ruary 2003 is/are: y objection to the d cluding the correction	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \sqsubseteq rawing(s) be held in abeyon is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	Niew (PTO 949)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Res Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date			f Informal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed September 24, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues on page 5, line 23 – page 5, line 2 that Takimoto fails to disclose automatically starting a further recording when the detecting means detects a change in the video standard (claim 1) and detecting a change in the video standard and then starting another recording (claim 3). The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Takimoto discloses in Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 35-67 that an input signal is sent to a recording process circuit (32) for subjecting the video signal digitized by the A/D converter to predetermine processing such as time-base processing confirming to a track format. The information is then sent back to the CPU as well onto other components, but the CPU takes that information and sends it to the subcode generating circuit which creates the subcode to be added to the recording in order to distinguish which format the video signal is. Therefore, when a different format is inputted to the recorder it will be processed and the CPU will alert the recorder and the subcode generating circuit which format it needs to be recording in. Therefore, Takimoto meets the claim limitations and the rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takimoto (U.S. Patent 5,966,496).

Regarding claim 1, Takimoto discloses a video recorder comprising: a source of a digital stream representing a video signal in one of a plurality of video standards; means for recording the digital stream on a medium as a recording among a plurality of recordings (col. 11, lines 61-63); means for recording an indicator of the video standard for the recording (col. 12, lines 8-11); means for detecting the video standard of the video signal thereby generating the indicator (Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 64-67); wherein the recording means automatically starts a further recording when the detecting means detects a change in the video standard (Fig. 3; col. 3, lines 35-67 – displays every track has a subcode that identifies the track's video standard, which means that one track cannot have more than one kind of video standard recorded in it. Therefore, a new track is created when the video standard changes.).

Regarding claim 3, Takimoto discloses a process for recording a digital stream on a medium as a recording among a plurality of recordings, the digital stream representing a video signal in one of a plurality of video standards, with the steps of: detecting the video standard of the video signal (Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 64-67); recording an indicator of the video standard for the recording (col. 12,

lines 8-11); detecting a change in the video standard of the video signal (the CPU detects the video standard); and recording the digital stream as a further recording (Fig. 3; col. 3, lines 35-67 – displays every track has a subcode that identifies the track's video standard, which means that one track cannot have more than one kind of video standard recorded in it. Therefore, a new track is created when the video standard changes.).

Regarding claim **5**, Takimoto discloses a process for recording a digital stream on a medium, the digital stream representing a video signal in one of a plurality of video standards, with the steps of: recording the digital stream as a first recording (col. 11, lines 61-63); detecting a change from a first video standard to a second video standard (the CPU detects the video standard); recording the digital stream as a second recording (Fig. 3; col. 3, lines 35-67 – displays every track has a subcode that identifies the track's video standard, which means that one track cannot have more than one kind of video standard recorded in it. Therefore, a new track is created when the video standard changes.).

Regarding claim **6**, Takimoto discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 5 including the step of: recording an indicator of the second video standard for the second recording (Fig. 3; col. 12, lines 8-11 and 18-22 – displays every track has a subcode that identifies the track's video standard).

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather R. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-7368. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs.: 7:00 am - 4:30 pm, and every other Fri.: 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/770,716

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Heather R Jones Examiner Art Unit 2621

HRJ December 22, 2007

> JOHN MILLER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600